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## 1. Introduction



The advent of transition-metal catalyzed strategies for forming new C-C bonds has revolutionized the field of organic chemistry.


Losartane


Liquid cristal display

## Cross-Coupling vs Reductive Cross-Coupling


commercially available (2011)
R-B 5000
R-I 80000
R-Br 700000
demerit of organometallic reagent $\Rightarrow$ Special care to exclude oxgen moisture.
$\Rightarrow$ Inherent reactivity ( $\mathrm{RMgX}, \mathrm{RZnX}$ )
$\Rightarrow$ Basic reagent require to facilitate transmetalation.

Reductive dimerization of electrophiles were known(Wurtz, Ullman), but general methods have lagged far behind cross-couplings.

Wurtz coupling

$$
2 \text { Alkyl—X } \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Na}} \text { Alkyl—Alkyl }
$$

Ullman coupling

$$
2 \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{X} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Cu}} \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{Ar}
$$

## Cross Selectivity-Major Approach-


(C. Gosmini et al. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 1142.)

Effects of increasing the equivalents of one substrate on maximum statistical yield and waste.

$\mathrm{n}=1 \quad 50 \%$ maximus statistical yield , 1:1 product : dimers
$\mathrm{n}=2 \quad 80 \%$ maximus statistical yield, 1:1.25 product : dimers
$\mathrm{n}=3 \quad 86 \%$ maximus statistical yield , $1: 1.67$ product : dimers


Less wasteful approach is the development of catalysts able to differentiate the two electrophilic reagents.

## Dr. Daniel J. Weix

Cross-Coupling of Nucleophiles with Electrophiles
\(\xrightarrow[\begin{array}{l}nucleophile <br>

transmetalation\end{array}]{\mathrm{R}^{1} \cdot \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}+\underset{\)|  electrophile  |
| :--- |
|  oxidative addition  |$}{\mathrm{R}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{X} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Pd}}} \mathrm{R}^{1 \cdot R^{2}}+\mathrm{R}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{R}^{2}+\mathrm{R}^{1} \cdot \mathrm{R}^{1}$

Cross-Electrophile Coupling - This Work

| R X | $\mathrm{R}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{X} \longrightarrow$ | $R^{1} \cdot R^{2}+R^{2} \cdot R^{2}+R^{1} \cdot R^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| electrophile | electrophile | factors that favor or disfavor |
| oxidative addition | oxidative addition | selectivity unknown |
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## 2. Cross-Electrophile Coupling

## Initial Discovery of The Coupling of Aryl-I with Alkyl-I

## Dual-ligand Ni catalyst system



## Substrate Scope




## Coupling of Alkyl-Br with Ar-Br or Ar-CI


${ }^{a}$ Reactions were assembled on the benchtop on 0.5 mmol scale in 2 mL of 1,3 -dimethyl-3,4,5,6-tetrahydro-2( 1 H )-pyrimidinone (DMPU). The reaction mixtures were heated for $3.5-36 \mathrm{~h}$, and reaction progress was monitored by GC analysis. See Supporting Information for full details. ${ }^{b}$ Yield of 3a was determined by GC analysis vs an internal standard and is corrected. ${ }^{c}$ The amounts of these products are area \% (A\%) data. ${ }^{d}$ Reaction conducted with $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% 4$ and $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathbf{5}$. ${ }^{e}$ Reaction run on 1 mmol scale; yield reported is the isolated yield. ${ }^{{ }^{f} \text { Reaction run with } 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%}$ $4 / \mathrm{NiI}_{2} \cdot x \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pyridine. ${ }^{g}$ Reaction run with no nickel. ${ }^{h}$ Reaction run at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{i}$ Reaction run at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{j} \mathrm{TMSCl}$ and 1,2 -dibromoethane ( $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ each) were added sequentially as the last two reagents to the reaction vial.

JACS, 2012, 134, 6146.

## Substrate Scope of Aryl and Alkyl Bromides


${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: organic halides ( 0.75 mmol each $), \mathrm{NiI}_{2} \cdot x \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.054-0.078 \mathrm{mmol})$, ligand ( $0.05-0.075 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pyridine ( $0.05-0.075 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium iodide ( 0.19 mmol ), zinc dust ( $>10 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DMPU ( 3 mL ) were assembled on the bench in a 1 dram vial and heated for $5-41 \mathrm{~h}$ under air. Yields are of isolated and purified product. ${ }^{b}$ Average of two runs. ${ }^{c}$ Used 1.25 equiv of alkyl bromide ( 0.94 mmol$) .{ }^{d}$ The 2-bromoheptane contained $11 \% 3$-bromoheptane (NMR). Product $3 n$ was isolated as an $83: 17$ ratio of $3 n$ :heptan-3-ylbenzene (NMR). ${ }^{e}$ Isolated as an inseparable mixture with benzyl butyrate; yields determined by NMR analysis of this mixture. ${ }^{I}$ Isolated as an inseparable mixture of $(E)$ and $(Z)$ isomers. ${ }^{8}$ Isomer ratio determined by NMR analysis. ${ }^{h}$ Starting material (2-bromo-2-butene) was an 88:12 ratio of $(Z)$ and $(E)$ isomers.

## Chemoselectivity and Functional Group Compatibility


${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: organic bromides ( 0.75 mmol each), $\mathrm{NiI}_{2} \cdot x \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.054 \mathrm{mmol})$, ligand ( 0.05 mmol ), pyridine ( 0.05 mmol ), sodium iodide ( 0.19 mmol ), zinc dust ( $>10 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DMPU ( 3 mL ) were assembled on the bench in a dram vial and heated for $3.5-23 \mathrm{~h}$ under air. ${ }^{b}$ Yields are of isolated and purified product. ${ }^{c}$ Average of two runs. ${ }^{d}$ Run at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and with 1 equiv of sodium iodide. ${ }^{e}$ Run with 1.25 equiv of alkyl

A. $\quad \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{1}-\mathrm{I}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Mn}^{0}} \mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{1}} \cdot \mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{I} \xrightarrow{[\mathrm{Ni}]} \mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$
B. $\quad \mathbf{R}^{1}-\mathrm{I} \xrightarrow{[\mathrm{Ni}]} \mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{1}-[\mathrm{Ni}]-I} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}-[\mathrm{Ni}]-\mathrm{I}} \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}}$
C. $\quad R^{1}-I \xrightarrow{[\mathrm{Ni}]} \mathrm{R}^{1}-[\mathrm{Ni}]-\mathrm{I} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{R}^{2}-\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{1}-R^{2}}$
D. $R^{1}-I$

$\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$
A)in situ formation of an organometallic reagent followed by cross-coupling
B)transmetalation between two organonickel species
C)sequential oxidative additions at a single nickel center
D)radical chain reaction

JACS, 2013, 135, 16192.

A)in situ formation of an organometallic reagent followed by cross-coupling

Scheme 6. Direct Insertion of Zinc and Activated Zinc



$\mathrm{Zn}^{0}$

$$
\underset{2}{\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{17}-\mathrm{Br}} \xrightarrow[\substack{\mathrm{BrCH} \\ 2 \\ \mathrm{CMPU} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br} \text { (cat.) }}]{\mathrm{TMSCl} \text { (cat.) }} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{17}-\mathrm{ZnBr} \quad 10 \%^{a}
$$

${ }^{a} \mathrm{GC}$ yield at 24 h based on unreacted $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{2}$, corrected vs dodecane internal standard.
1)Tolerance of functional groups
2)Direct insertion slower than reductive coupling. (left scheme) 3) Zn to TDAE nonmetallic reducing agent. (6 turnover number) From above, $A \Rightarrow x$

## Mechanism B

$$
\text { B. } \quad R^{1}-I \xrightarrow{[\mathrm{Ni}]} R^{1}-[\mathrm{Ni}]-I \xrightarrow{R^{2}-[\mathrm{Ni}]-I} R^{1}-R^{2}
$$

B)transmetalation between two organonickel species


Figure 3. Change of the molar ratio of 3aa/5a (red circles) and 3aa/ 4a (blue triangles) with catalyst concentration, suggesting product and dimers arise from different mechanisms. Exponential fits: solid blue line, $f(x)=121.05 x^{-0.824}, R^{2}=0.94$; dashed red line, $f(x)=$ $723.81 x^{-1.063}, R^{2}=0.92$.

stoichiometric experiment $\Rightarrow$ dimer was obtained mainly.
B)second-order dependence on Ni concentration
(K. Osakada et al. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2000, 198, 379.) If cross-coupled product was obtained by a similar transmetalation mechanism, [cross]/[dimer] = 1 (independence on [Ni]).

## Mechanism C \& D~ begin with Ar-Ni-I or Alkyl-Ni-l? ~

Potential mechanisms for cross-electrophile coupling

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { C. } \begin{array}{lll}
R^{1}-I & \xrightarrow{[N i]} & R^{1}-[\mathrm{Ni}]-I \\
\\
\text { D. } \quad R^{1}-I & R^{2}-I & R^{1}-R^{2} \\
{[\mathrm{Ni}]} \\
R^{1}-[\mathrm{Ni}]-I & R^{2 \cdot} \\
R^{1}-R^{2}
\end{array} \\
& \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

C)sequential oxidative additions at a single nickel center D)radical chain reaction

Table 2. Selectivity in Oxidative Addition to $(\mathrm{L}) \mathrm{Ni}^{0}(\mathrm{cod})^{a}$


|  |  | yield $(\%)^{c}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| substrate | total conv $(\%)^{b}$ | alkyl-H or Ph-H | 4a | 3aa | 5a |
| Ph-I | 89 | 49 | 21 | 13 | NA |
| $\mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{C}_{8}$-I | 19 | 0 | NA | 51 | 45 |

${ }^{a}$ A 1:1 mixture of 1a:2a was added to a DMF solution of $\mathbf{6}$. Samples were analyzed by GC. Reported values are an average of data using between 2 and 40 equiv each of $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 a}$ to $\mathbf{6}$. See Supporting Information for full experimental details. ${ }^{b}$ Conversion with respect to amount of 6 . ${ }^{\circ}$ Yield with respect to amount of 6 . NA $=$ not applicable.

Scheme 2. Apparent Reversibility of Oxidative Addition


isolated
quantitative, $99 \%$ cross-selective

isolated
$56 \%$ yield, $>99 \%$ cross-selective


9 and 10 generated in situ
$60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5-8 \mathrm{~h}$ From $9(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}), 14: 1$ 5a:3aa
From $10\left(X=\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{17}\right), 270: 1$ 5a:3aa

These stoichiometric studies $\Rightarrow$ initial oxidative addition of $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{I}$ to $\mathrm{Ni}(0)$

## Radical intermediate \& Which one is more reasonable C or D?

Potential mechanisms for cross-electrophile coupling
C. $R^{1}-I$
[ Ni ] D)radical chain reaction

C : $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathrm{Ar})(\mathrm{X}) \xrightarrow{\text { Alkyl-X }} \mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{IV}}\left(\right.$ Ar)(Alkyl)X $\mathbf{2}_{2}$ an alkyl radical intermediate. at the same nickel center.
$\mathrm{D}: \mathrm{Ni}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{Ar})(\mathrm{X}) \xrightarrow{\text { Alkyl }^{\circ}} \overbrace{\text { Ar-Alkyl }}^{\text {Ni }}$ Alkyl-X
1)must involve a radical intermediate
2)The radical is generated and consumed at different nickel centers.
from the right Figure, U/R depends on [ Ni ]. $\Rightarrow D$ is reasonable.
D. $\quad R^{1}-I \xrightarrow{[\mathrm{Ni}]} \mathrm{R}^{1}-[\mathrm{Ni}]-\mathrm{I} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{R}^{2 \cdot}} \mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{1}-R^{2}}$
C) sequential oxidative additions at a single nickel center
1)Oxidative addition may or may not involve
2)The radical would be generate and consumed

Figure 4. Ratio of $\mathbf{U}$ (3ad, includes olefin isomers) to $\mathbf{R}$ (3ad') formed in reactions at different catalyst concentrations, showing that the degree of rearrangement, a measure of the radical lifetime, depends upon nickel concentration. The data shown are for $50-100 \%$ conversion to avoid fluctuations in active catalyst concentration at the beginning of the reaction. Error bars are the standard deviation of the data used for the plot. Linear fit: $f(x)=0.417 x+1.83 ; R^{2}=0.984$. The same experiment run with unactivated Mn gave the same conclusion, but the reactions had longer induction periods (Figure

Scheme 3. Radical Clock Experiments ${ }^{a}$


Reaction with (L)Nill(2-tol)I (11): ND $56 \%$ yield (Ar=tol, 3cb')
Standard catalytic reaction with 1a: ND $35 \%$ yield (Ar=Ph, 3ab')

${ }^{a} \mathrm{ND}=$ none detected. Catalytic reaction as in Table 1, entry 1.
 S2).


## Hypothesis for Self-Initiation


3. Co-Catalyst System

## Co-Catalyst System



## Nickel-Catalyzed Regiodivergent Opening of Epoxides with Aryl Halides: Co-Catalysis Controls Regioselectivity



$\xrightarrow{(\mathrm{L}) \mathrm{NiBr}_{2}} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Ar}$
Problematic Point



Table 2. Nickel/Iodide Co-catalyzed Epoxide Ring-Opening with Aryl Halides ${ }^{a}$

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | deviation from above | yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2:3 |
| 1 | none | 81 | >95:5 |
| 2 | no TEA $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ | 32 | 89:11 |
| 3 | $15 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{NaI}$ | 67 | >95:5 |
| 4 | $25 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NI}$ in place of NaI | 79 | 95:5 |
| 5 | $12.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{ZnI}_{2}$ in place of NaI | 46 | 88:12 |
| 6 | $12.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{MnI}_{2}$ in place of NaI | 61 | 95:5 |
| 7 | no NaI, 12 h | 68 | >95:5 |
| 8 | no NaI, 24 h | 75 | >95:5 |
| 9 | $25 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{NaBr}$ in place of NaI | 52 | >95:5 |
| 12 | $\mathrm{NiI}_{2}$ in place of $\mathrm{NiI}_{2} \cdot x \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 86 | >95:5 |
| 13 | 2 equiv of Mn in place of Zn | 59 | 95:5 |
| $14^{c}$ | 2 equiv of TDAE in place of Zn | $48^{\text {d }}$ | >95:5 |
| 15 | heated to $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h | 50 | 93:7 |
| 16 | $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{Ph}$ in place of $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Ph}$ | 51 | 91:9 |

${ }^{a}$ Reactions were run with 1 equiv of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ and 2 equiv of zinc dust; 0.1 equiv nickel catalyst, ligand; 0.2 equiv pyridine, 0.25 equiv sodium iodide, and 1.34 equiv of 1a in 3 mL DMPU. ${ }^{b}$ Uncorrected GC yield of 2aa. ${ }^{c}$ TDAE $=$ tetrakis (dimethylamino) ethylene. ${ }^{d}$ Bromobenzene ( $52 \%$ ) remained, but no biaryl or benzene was formed.

## Substrate Scope


with NaI, Py, and $\mathbf{Z n}^{\mathbf{0}}$



61\%
86:14 trans:cis
81\% 99\% es
with $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}^{\mathbf{0}}$



75\% 99:1 trans:cis



93\%



62\%
3.5:1 mixuture of regioisomers

## Enantioselective Arylation of meso-Epoxides



Optimization
[Ti] (10 mol\%)




${ }^{a}$ Reactions were run with 1.0:2.0:0.1:0.1:0.1 $\quad \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{Mn}^{0} /$ titanocene $/ \mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ (dme)/bipyridine in DMPU with stirring for 12 h at rt . Assay yields (GC area \%) are shown, with an isolated yield in parentheses. Enantiomeric excesses were determined by chiral-phase

## Substrate Scope



otho-substituted... didn't work well.

using
VinyIOTf
59\%, 82\% ee


99\%, 93\% ee


77\%, 87\% ee


71\%, 84\% ee

## Formation of [3, 3, 0]Bicycoocatanol Products



With the smaller titanocence dicloride 1, only rearranged product was formed.
$\Rightarrow$ The coupling of the $\beta$-titanoxy radical with an aryInickel intermediate is slowed by steric interactions.

## Proposed Catalytic Cycle




## Coupling of Benzyl Mesylates with Ar-X

Target Reaction : Diarylmethanes from cross-electrophile coupling


Their reported conditions


Problems (under their reported conditions)


Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 1115.

## Mechanism based solution



Ar-l was also employed as coupling partners. Added Nal depressed the yield only slightly. $(13,9)$ $\Rightarrow P h l$ competes with in situ formed Bnl more effectively than PhBr for oxidative addition to nickel.

copsif(II) buffsןoc入su!ug (co(bc))


| Entry | X | Catalyst ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Yield $3^{c}$ ( $\mathrm{A} \%$ ) | 3:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Br | [ Ni ] only | 3 | 1:1 |
| 2 | Br | $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{Pc})$ only | 0 | ND: 3 |
| 3 | Br | [ $\mathrm{Ni} \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{Pc}$ ) | 73 | 1: ND |
| 4 | Br | [ Ni ], $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ | 4 | 4:1 |
| 5 | Br | [ Ni$], \mathrm{NaI}$ (25 mol\%) | 25 | 1:1 |
| 6 | Br | [ Ni$], \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{Pc}), \mathrm{Mn}$ instead of Zn | 64 | 4:1 |
| 7 | Br | $\mathrm{NiBr}_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{Pc})$ | 1 | 1:6 |
| 8 | Br | $\mathrm{No}[\mathrm{Ni}]$ and no $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{Pc})$ | 0 | 0:1 |
| $9^{d, e}$ | I | [ Ni ] only | 71 | 7:1 |
| $10^{e}$ | I | $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{Pc})$ only | 0 | ND: 1 |
| $11^{\text {d,e }}$ | I | [ $\mathrm{Ni} \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{Pc}$ ) | 83 | 17:1 |
| 12 | I | [ $\mathrm{Ni} \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{Pc}$ ) | 83 | 83:1 |
| 13 | I | [ Ni ], NaI (25 mol\%) | 64 | 8:1 |
| 14 | I | [ Ni$], \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{Pc}), \mathrm{Mn}$ instead of Zn | 42 | $1.4: 1$ |
| ${ }^{a}$ Reactions run at 0.25 M in DMA. BnOMs was formed in situ from |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{BnOH}, \mathrm{Ms}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1.44 equiv.), and $\mathrm{EtN}(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{Pr})_{2}$ (1.6 equiv.). See ESI. ${ }^{b}[\mathrm{Ni}]=$ |  |  |  |  |
| $7 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{NiBr}_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ dtbbpy. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{Pc})=1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ cobalt |  |  |  |  |
| phthalocyanine. ${ }^{c}$ Yields and ratios reported as GC area\%. ${ }^{d}$ Reaction |  |  |  |  |

## Optimization \& Substrate Scope



Preliminary enantioconvergent coupling


## Multimetallic Catalysed Cross-coupling of Aryl Bromides with Aryl Triflates




Nature, 2015, 524, 454-457.

## Selectivities of Nickel and Palladium




3


1 eq. each


5

a) (dppp)PdCl2 and (bpy)NiBr2 (10 mol\%)

b) (bpy)NiBr2 (10 mol\%)

C) (dppp)PdCl2 (10 mol\%)

## Conditions for the Multimetal-Catalyzed Cross-Ulmann Reaction




## Summary



