Please provide the mechanisms for the following reactions.

## Problem 1


3. 1-2 (1.5 eq), $\left[\operatorname{lr}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ (0.01 eq) $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{cod})(\mathrm{DQ})(0.03 \mathrm{eq})$
 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine ( 0.05 eq ) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(1.5 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2.0 eq) DMF, blue LED, rt, 70\%

4. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.0 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{MeOH}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 77 \%$

1-3
$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$
1-4

Problem 2

1. Hoveyda-Grubbs Catalyst 2nd (0.01 eq), toluene, reflux, 86 \%

2. $\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{IO}_{6}(2.0 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{AcOEt}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
3. 2-2 (1.2 eq), toluene, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $83 \%$ (over 2 steps)
4. $[\mathrm{RhCl}(\mathrm{cod})]_{2}(0.02 \mathrm{eq}), \mathbf{2 - 3}(1.5 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.0 \mathrm{eq})$ 1,4-dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 93 \%$
5. DDQ (1.2 eq), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then DMP (1.2 eq), 85\%
6. hv $(300 \mathrm{~nm}), \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{Oi}-\mathrm{Pr})_{4}(1.0 \mathrm{eq}), \mathbf{2 - 4}(1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ toluene, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 65 \%$
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$\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$

$\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})(\mathrm{DQ})$


4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine



Hoveyda-Grubbs Catalyst 2nd

$[\mathrm{RhCl}(\mathrm{cod})]_{2}$

Topic: Photoreactions for Constructing Fused Multicyclic Core Skeleton
Problem 1 Total synthesis of grandilodines A, C, lapidilectine B and lundurine A

3. 1-2 (1.5 eq), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(0.01 \mathrm{eq})$ $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})(\mathrm{DQ})$ ( 0.03 eq ) 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine ( 0.05 eq) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(1.5 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2.0 eq) DMF, blue LED, rt, 70\%
4. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2.0 eq), $\mathrm{MeOH}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 77 \%$
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$$
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}
$$

1-13 $\qquad$ step 3


## Comparative Experiment



Only 1-21 is generated from less hindered substrate 1-20. This fact suggests generating kinetic product is much faster than generating thermodynamic product.


Furthermore, 1-4 was gained from 1-14 converted from 1-21 under the same condition.

## Discussion 1 -Enantioselectivity of Dieckmann Condensation-

N -PMB group should be on equatorial side in order to avoid large 1,3-diaxial interaction


The orientation of highlighted S atom and O atom is the same due to $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$chelation. Also, ( $Z$ )-enolate will be generated preferentially to avoid repulsion. To understand the enantioselectivity, two possibilities of proton abstraction $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}_{b}\right)$ should be discussed.


1. Deprotonation of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$

2. Deprotonation of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$


The isopropyl groups face the opposite side of each other. Therefore, generating 1-10 is favorable.

## Discussion 2 -Ir, Ni-catalyzed Regioselective Acylation-

2-1 Proposed catalytic cycle ref3,4


1-3
[*|r ${ }^{\text {III }]: ~} \mathrm{E}_{1 / 2^{\text {red }}}=+1.21 \mathrm{~V}$ vs SCE $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}: \mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}^{\text {red }}=+0.98 \mathrm{~V}$ vs SCE
$\left.{ }^{*} \mid r^{\mathrm{II}]}\right]: \mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}{ }^{\text {red }}=+1.21 \mathrm{~V}$ vs SCE
$\mathrm{h}_{3} \mathrm{P}: \mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}{ }^{\text {red }}=+0.98 \mathrm{~V}$ vs SCE

1-24



2-2 Role of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}{ }^{\text {ref3,4 }}$

- Deoxygenation is usually difficult due to the similar bond dissociation energies of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds.

- The desired reaction did not proceed when $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ was not added. Once TEMPO was added as radical quencher, 1-37 was only detected. These experiments indicate acyl radical was generated with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$.
$\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(0.01 \mathrm{eq})$

- The luminescence quenching experiment shows PPh3 can quench [*I ${ }^{\text {II }}$ ] faster than 1-34.


Luminescence quenching of [ ${ }^{*} \mid{ }^{[I I}$ ] by 1-34


Luminescence quenching of [* ${ }^{*}{ }^{[I I}$ ] by $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$

- The calculation shows the energy barrier is low and acyl radical 1-39 is more stable than intermediate 1-38.



Problem 2 Total synthesis of periglaucines A-C, N, O-dimethyloxostephine and oxostephabenine
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1. Hoveyda-Grubbs Catalyst 2nd (0.01 eq), toluene, reflux, $86 \%$
2. $\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{IO}_{6}(2.0 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{AcOEt}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
3. 2-2 (1.2 eq), toluene, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $83 \%$ (over 2 steps)
4. $[\mathrm{RhCl}(\mathrm{cod})]_{2}(0.02 \mathrm{eq}), 2-3(1.5 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.0 \mathrm{eq})$ 1,4-dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 93 \%$
5. DDQ (1.2 eq), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then DMP (1.2 eq), $85 \%$
6. hv (300 nm), Ti(Oi-Pr) ${ }_{4}(1.0 \mathrm{eq}), \mathbf{2 - 4}(1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ toluene, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 65 \%$
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2-8


2-6
2-7


2-11



2-9
$\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{IO}_{6} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{HIO}_{4}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$\mathrm{HIO}_{4} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{H}^{\oplus}+\mathrm{IO}_{4}{ }^{\ominus}$
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2-13


2-14


2-15

$\mathrm{HIO}_{3}$
HCHO
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## Discussion 1 -Rh-catalyzed 1,4-addition of Aryl Boronic Acid-

1-1 Proposed catalytic cycle ${ }^{\text {refl, } 8}$


LnRh'OH complex is reported as an active intermediate for transmetallation with organoboronic acids.

1-2 Chemoselectivity and Diastereoselectivity


## Discussion 2 - Ti-promoted Diels-Alder Reaction-

2-1 Role of $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{Oi}-\mathrm{Pr}) 4^{\text {ref9 }}$

- No reaction occurred without irradiation, which indicates photoenolization is crucial for the desired Diels-Alder reaction. Also, Diels-Alder reaction did not proceed without acids. This means the diene generated by photoenolization decomposed before reacting with 2-36.

- The amount of $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{Oi}-\mathrm{Pr})_{4}$ strongly affects the yield. Stoichiometric $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{Oi}-\mathrm{Pr})_{4}$ is needed for good yields.


Considering these results, $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{Oi}-\mathrm{Pr})_{4}$ seems to form complex with dienol and dienophile and stabilizes the dienol.

## 2-2 Role of the chiral ligand ref10,11

Chiral ligands can accelerate the reaction rate and control the reaction selectivity.


## 2-3 Selectivity on synthesis of 2-5

2-45 would not be generated due to steric repulsion between Ti complex and acetonide. This kind of limitation arising from steric repulsion is observed in other total synthesis. ref12
2 styles of dienophile approaching are possible. 2-47 should be less stable than desired 2-5 because of its boat conformation highlighted in blue, which leads 2-29E to be converted into 2-5 only.

*acetenide is omitted to simplify


2-30
2-5'
2-5
*acetenide is omitted to simplify


2-46


2-47'


2-47
(not detected)
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